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Frameworks

- **Tie** together seemingly disparate topics
- Show a **complete picture** of a research subfield
- Help researchers to **identify open areas** for generating new research and design ideas
- Assist to **explain and contextualize** their results
Frameworks in HCI

- Over 650 HCI frameworks papers
- Represents ~1.4% of papers accepted every year
- Main venues for frameworks: CHI EA (19), EICS (17), CHI (11), TEI (10), VRCAI (10)
Frameworks in HCI

- Very few authors evaluate the impact of their theories and frameworks
  - Active theory (Clemmensen, Kaptelinin and Nardi, Behaviour and Info. Tech 2016)
  - Trajectories Conceptual Framework (Velt, Benford and Reeves, CHI 2017)
  - Lab and field evaluations in mobile HCI research (Kjeldskov and Skov, 2014)

- Evaluating impact in general
  - OzCHI (Mubin, Mahmud, Ahmad, Scientometrics 2017)
  - Citation types at CHI 2016 (Marshall et al., CHI EA 2017)
**Contribution of the Paper**

- **We argue for the importance of evaluating frameworks in HCI**

- **When?**
  - At the earliest, five years from the framework publication date

- **How?**
  - By performing a content-based citation analysis
  - By qualifying the use of the framework by the original authors
  - By evaluating the impact of theoretical work on training future researchers and practitioners
What we want to get from the workshop

- Do you reflect about the impact of your own work?
- How and when should we reflect on the impact of theoretical research?
- How do you integrate interaction frameworks in your teaching?